

# Student Evaluation of Instruction and Course

University of Missouri-Columbia

Form D - 3

Instructor: Christianson, John Scott

Semester: Spring 2011

Course Subject: MANGMT

Section: 01

Catalog Number: 8510

Course Id: 008932

Description: PROJECT MANAGEMENT

Class Number: 18467

Department or Unit: MANGMT

Number of Respondents: 25

Section I Consumer Information	Percent Responding				# Resp.	Mean
	SA 4	A 3	D 2	SD 1		
1. Course content and expectations were presented clearly	66.7	33.3	0.0	0.0	21	3.7
2. The instructor was interested in student learning	66.7	33.3	0.0	0.0	21	3.7
3. All things considered, the instructor taught effectively	85.7	14.3	0.0	0.0	21	3.9

Section II Diagnostic Feedback	High 5	4	3	2	Low 1	# Resp.	Mean
	1. Instructor's organization of the course	72.0	28.0	0.0	0.0		
2. Sequential presentation of concepts	75.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	24	4.8
3. Instructor's explanations	68.0	32.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	25	4.7
4. Ability to present alternative explanations	60.0	36.0	4.0	0.0	0.0	25	4.6
5. Use of examples and illustrations	76.0	24.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	25	4.8
6. Quality of questions or problems raised	64.0	32.0	4.0	0.0	0.0	25	4.6
7. Contribution of assignments to understanding	76.0	24.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	25	4.8
8. Instructor's enthusiasm	68.0	28.0	0.0	0.0	4.0	25	4.6
9. Instructor's effectiveness with student difficulties	80.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	25	4.8
10. Answers to student questions	84.0	16.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	25	4.8
11. Availability of extra help when needed	81.8	18.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	22	4.8
12. Instructor's language proficiency	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	24	5.0
13. Instructor's use of technology	96.0	4.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	25	5.0

Section III General Evaluation	E	QG	S	F	P	# Resp.	Mean
	1. The course as a whole	48.0	52.0	0.0	0.0		
2. The content of the course	44.0	56.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	25	4.4


Section IV Information for Other Students	E	QG	S	F	P	# Resp.	Mean
	1. Use of class time	52.0	44.0	4.0	0.0		
2. Amount you learned in the course	48.0	44.0	8.0	0.0	0.0	25	4.4
3. Relevance and usefulness of course content	44.0	40.0	16.0	0.0	0.0	25	4.3
4. Evaluative and grading techniques (tests,papers,projects)	76.0	24.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	25	4.8
5. Reasonableness of assigned work	48.0	44.0	4.0	4.0	0.0	25	4.4
6. Clarity of student responsibilities and requirements	68.0	32.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	25	4.7

Section V General Information About Your Students (percent of respondents)										% Completed Assigned Work	
Wanted Course	Course Is		Class		Expected Grade		% Attendance				
Yes	80.0	In major	16.0	Freshman	0.0	A	84.0	0-25	0.0	0-25	0.0
No	0.0	In minor	0.0	Sophomore	0.0	B	12.0	26-50	0.0	26-50	0.0
Neutral	20.0	Elective	80.0	Junior	0.0	C	0.0	51-75	0.0	51-75	0.0
Omit	0.0	General	4.0	Senior	0.0	D	0.0	76-90	8.0	76-90	4.0
		Other	0.0	Graduate	100.0	F	0.0	91-100	92.0	91-100	92.0
		Omit	0.0	Other	0.0	S	0.0	NA	0.0	NA	4.0
				Omit	0.0	U	0.0	Omit	0.0	Omit	0.0
						Omit	4.0				


Section VI Optional Items		E	QG	S	F	P	# Resp.	Mean
E = Excellent	1.	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0
QS = Quite Good	2.	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0
S = Satisfactory	3.	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0
F = Fair	4.	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0
P = Poor								

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	# Resp.	Mean
5.	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0
6.	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0
7.	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0
8.	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0

Section II Graphic Representation of Means of Items in Section II



Section I Consumer Information Item 3: Overall Teaching Effectiveness



Processed at the Assessment Resource Center  
Phone: (573)882-4694